

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,903	02/05/2004	Kuester Joern	EUR 50877/USw	5357	
62068 HUNTSMAN	7590 11/01/2010 INTERNATIONAL LI	EXAMINER			
LEGAL DEPA	ARTMENT		COONEY, JOHN M		
	LOCH FOREST DRIV ANDS, TX 77380	E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1765		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/01/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Martha\_Victory@Huntsman.com Amber\_Collins@Huntsman.com USPatents@Huntsman.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	10/772,903	JOERN ET AL.				
Examiner		Art Unit				
	John Cooney	1765				

	John Cooney	1765						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		26(a) and the appropriate	o ovtonoion foo					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount for file fill. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	l6 and 41.33(a)).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).					
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thou the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.9.11.18.19.22-24.26.28.30.31.33.35 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	and 36.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (land 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/John Cooney/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1765						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the features of the blowing agent submits restriction to and expansion of the limitations of the blowing agent component that have not been previously submitted for consideration and would require further search and/or consideration. Additionally, the proposed amendment submits confusion and ambiguity as to whether the transitional term "consisting" applies restriction to the entire blowing agent component or just the blowing agent component recited by the claims. Removal of the flame spread requirements of the claims opens and/or re-opens the claims to the inclusion or potential inclusion of materials which would require further consideration and/or search. Further, the sum of the proposed changes constitute combinations of permutations that would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As to the rejections under 35USC112, the arguments are directed towards amendments that have not been entered. As to the rejection over Sieker et al. in view of Bodnar et al., the filing date of the Sieker et al. patent still qualifies the reference as prior art, and the additional submissions have not properly demonstrated the Sieker et al. patent to be of the same inventive entity (see 37CFR1.130, MPEP 718, & 706.02(1) -706.02(1)(3))(Note also also-applicant would have the burden of establishing that inventions were was commonly owned at the time the claimed invention was made, and current evidence is not sufficient in establishing this fact). Additionally, rejection based on the combination is maintained to be proper and attack against references individually is unpersuasive. As to rejection over Bodnar et al. in view of Scherbel et al., it is not agreed that rejection is negated by the identified disclosure in applicants reply, and these disclosures also do not negate the other disclosures of the cited reference pointed to by examiner in support of the rejection is